


**ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT  
1995 - 1996**



**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING COMMITTEE**

**JUNE 1996**

Figure 1. Private Inuvialuit Lands and Crown Lands within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Message From The Chair .....	1
Period of this Report .....	2
The Inuvialuit Final Agreement .....	2
Mandate of the Environmental Impact Screening Committee .....	3
Membership .....	4
Administrative and Technical Assistance .....	5
Activities of the Environmental Impact Screening Committee .....	6
Regular Meetings .....	6
Submissions/Project descriptions .....	6
Presentations to the Environmental Impact Screening Committee .....	7
Other Activities .....	9
Meetings/Conferences/Workshops Attended .....	11
Finances .....	11
Appendix A	
Submissions to the Environmental Impact Screening Committee .....	A - i
Appendix B	
Acronyms and Abbreviations Used in the Text .....	B - i
Appendix C	
Financial Summary .....	C - i



## MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR

During the year in review, activity of the Environmental Impact Screening Committee (EISC) reached an all-time high, driven by the number of submissions received. This, plus the fact the EISC was suspended involuntarily for three and a half months, presented an extra challenge not only to the EISC but also to those presenting development proposals for screening.

The suspension, further discussed under Activities of the EISC in this report, underscored the absolute necessity for good cooperation among signatories to the Inuvialuit Final Agreement in order for screening - and development - to proceed on a timely basis.

As a result of an agreement between the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development and the Inuvialuit Regional Corporation, EISC resumed screening of land use permits related to mineral exploration. The agreement provides for EISC to conduct an annual review of these activities in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region, including their possible cumulative effects. This task has been accepted and actioned.

On behalf of the EISC, I thank submitters for their cooperation, and for their patience during the period of suspension. Also our thanks to the Hunters' and Trappers' Committees, the co-management committees, particularly the Fisheries Joint Management Committee, and to the Inuvialuit Game Council for their continued support of the screening process. The cooperation of Parks Canada - Western Arctic District, the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development - Inuvik District, and the Inuvialuit Land Administration is also gratefully acknowledged.

It is my pleasant prerogative as chair to recognize former members of the EISC, Ms. V. Loewen and Mr. G. White for the significant contribution they made, and to welcome new members Mr. K. Kiemele, appointee of the Yukon Territorial Government, and Mr. T. Butters, appointee of the Government of Canada. The members continue to provide balanced and impartial advice and various compliments received by the committee during the year are directly attributable to their selfless efforts. I thank them for their continued support.

---

Dr. Tom Beck, Chair



## PERIOD OF THIS REPORT

This report describes the activities of the Environmental Impact Screening Committee (EISC) for the fiscal period of 1 April 1995 to 31 March 1996 which marks the EISC's eighth year of operation.

## THE INUVIALUIT FINAL AGREEMENT

On 5 June 1984, the Committee for Original Peoples Entitlement (representing the Inuvialuit) and the Federal Government of Canada signed the *Inuvialuit Final Agreement* (IFA) which was subsequently legislated under the *Western Arctic (Inuvialuit) Claims Settlement Act* [S.C. 32-33 Elizabeth II, C.24.]. Provisions of the IFA enable the Inuvialuit to participate and be meaningful partners in all decision-making processes dealing with the management of the environment and wildlife within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region. Section 1 of the IFA expresses the following goals:

- ' *To preserve the Inuvialuit cultural identity and values within a changing northern society*
- ' *To enable the Inuvialuit to be equal and meaningful participants in the northern and national economy and society*
- ' *To protect and preserve arctic wildlife, environment and biological productivity*

As one of five co-management bodies established under the terms of the IFA, the EISC plays a vital role in achieving these stated goals through implementing the screening portion of the IFA environmental impact screening and review process.



## **MANDATE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING COMMITTEE**

The *Western Arctic (Inuvialuit) Claims Settlement Act* and the IFA take precedence over any other federal, territorial, provincial, or municipal law to the extent of any inconsistency or conflict between them. Developers, operators, and regulatory agencies are compelled by this Act to comply with the provisions of the IFA environmental impact screening and review process.

The IFA requires the screening of developments and activities of consequence to the Inuvialuit Settlement Region that could have a significant negative impact on the environment or wildlife harvesting. The IFA also dictates that regulatory agencies must not issue licenses or approvals that permit any development to proceed without screening and, if necessary, review.

Section 11 of the IFA provided for the establishment of the EISC to carry out the screening of relevant developments. Provisions governing the activities and operation of the EISC are described mainly within Section 11 and 13. Section 11.(13) requires the EISC to make one of the following decisions after examining a project description:

- a) that the development will have no significant negative impact and may proceed without environmental impact assessment and review,
- b) that the development could have significant negative impact and is subject to assessment and review, or
- c) that the development proposal has deficiencies of a nature that warrant termination of its consideration and submission of another project description.

Subject to the aforementioned provisions and the EISC by-laws, the EISC produced its *Operating Guidelines and Procedures* which governs its routine activities in regard to the actual screening of development proposals.

Any person interested in obtaining a copy of the *Operating Guidelines and Procedures* or additional information about the EISC may contact:

*Secretary*  
*Environmental Impact Screening Committee*  
*Joint Secretariat - Inuvialuit Renewable Resource Committees*  
*P.O. Box 2120, Inuvik NT X0E 0T0*  
*Phone: 403 979 2828 Fax: 403 979 2610*  
*Email: eisceirb@jointsec.nt.ca*



## MEMBERSHIP

The EISC consists of seven members, including the Chair. The Inuvialuit Game Council appoints three members; and the Government of Canada, the Yukon Territorial Government, and the Government of the Northwest Territories appoint one member each. The Chair is appointed by the Government of Canada with the consent of the Inuvialuit Game Council.

Even though the Members are appointed by particular groups, the EISC serves as a non-partisan organization. The Members are expected to contribute as experienced individuals and not as representatives of their appointing body.

The Order-in-Council appointments for the Chair, Government of the Northwest Territories appointee, and the Yukon Territorial Government appointee expired on 9 June 1995, 12 June 1995, and 12 June 1995, respectively. New appointments and re-appointments are noted below.

The EISC Members as of 31 March 1996 were as follows:

<i>Chair</i>	Tom Beck Calgary, Alberta	Re-appointed, Government of Canada, 11 July 1995 Present Term: 9 June 1995 to 9 June 1998
<i>Members</i>	Tom Butters Gillies Bay, B.C.	Appointed, Government of Canada, 11 July 1995 Present Term: 6 July 1995 to 6 July 1998
	Billy Day Inuvik, N.W.T.	Appointed, Inuvialuit Game Council, 10 Feb. 1995 Indefinite Term
	Alex Kaglik Inuvik, N.W.T.	Appointed, Inuvialuit Game Council, 21 June 1988 Indefinite Term
	Ken Kiemele Whitehorse, Yukon	Appointed, Government of Yukon, 11 July 1995 Present Term: 6 July 1995 to 6 July 1998
	John Nagy Inuvik, N.W.T.	Re-appointed, Government of the N.W.T., 11 July 1995 Present Term: 12 June 1995 to 12 June 1998
	Fred Wolki Tuktoyaktuk, N.W.T.	Appointed, Inuvialuit Game Council, 24 Sept. 1990 Indefinite Term



## ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

The EISC receives administrative, technical, and clerical support from the Joint Secretariat situated in Inuvik, N.W.T. Full-time assistance is provided by the Resource Person - Environment who serves as the Secretary to the EISC.

During the 1995-1996 fiscal year Ms. Linda Graf held the position of Acting Secretary to the EISC 1 April to 31 October 1995 and was confirmed as Secretary as of 1 November 1995.

During the summer of 1995, the Joint Secretariat hired two Inuvialuit students from the Renewable Resources Technology Program, Aurora College, Inuvik. As part of their 2-month work experience Mr. Ronald Binder and Ms. Jackie Nogasak assisted the Resource Person - Environment with routine duties of the EISC Secretariat.

During the period of this report, the following employees of the Joint Secretariat provided additional assistance to the EISC:

Dr. Norm Snow	Executive Director
Ms. Lena Malegana	Office Manager
Ms. Debbie Anderson	Former Senior Accounting Officer
Ms. Peggy Madore	Senior Accounting Officer
Ms. Judy Gordon	Junior Accounting Officer
Ms. Shirley Kisoun	Travel Co-ordinator
Ms. Michelle Arbeau	Former Secretary/Receptionist
Ms. Laura Paterson	Secretary/Receptionist

Mr. Ron Veale, of Veale, Kilpatrick, Austring, Fendrick and Fairman Barristers and Solicitors, Whitehorse, Yukon, provided contractual legal services.





## **ACTIVITIES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING COMMITTEE**

The normal functions of the EISC were suspended from 1 April to 11 July 1995 due to a dispute between the Federal Government and the Government of the N.W.T. over the remuneration of the Inuvialuit members of the EISC; and the expiry of, and subsequent delay in finalization of the Federal Order-in-Council appointments for the Chair and government Members to the EISC. During this period, the EISC was unable to conduct regular meetings or screen development proposals. As a consequence, a number of industrial activities, research programs, and commercial tourism ventures were postponed; cancelled; or modified to exclude activity on Crown Land within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region. Following resolution of these problems, several proponents and regulatory agencies acknowledged and expressed their gratitude for the extra efforts of the EISC in promptly and expeditiously handling the accumulated back-log.

### **REGULAR MEETINGS**

During the period of this report, the EISC held five regular meetings and one telephone conference on the dates and in the locations noted below:

- |    |                        |                     |
|----|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | 18 - 20 July 1995      | Inuvik, N.W.T.      |
| 2. | 9 August 1995          | [teleconference]    |
| 3. | 13 - 14 September 1995 | Inuvik, N.W.T.      |
| 4. | 21-22 November 1995    | Inuvik, N.W.T.      |
| 5. | 5 - 6 February 1996    | Inuvik, N.W.T.      |
| 6. | 13 - 15 March 1996     | Tuktoyaktuk, N.W.T. |

### **SUBMISSIONS/PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS**

Seventy-seven project descriptions were submitted to the EISC during the period of this report, the largest annual number received since the EISC began operations in 1986 (Appendix A). Forty-three of the project descriptions were screened. Of these, the EISC decided that:

- 39 would have no significant negative impact; and
- 4 were deficient and warranted termination of their consideration and the submission of another project description.

None of the developments were considered to have the potential for significant negative impact therefore no referrals were made for further environmental assessment or review.

Twenty-four projects were deemed exempt from screening by EISC Resolution by virtue of the IFA co-management process (Further described under "Other Activities", Item 4).



As a result of the previously described suspension of the EISC activities, seven submissions were voluntarily withdrawn by the proponents prior to screening due to project deadlines and subsequent loss of opportunity stemming from short work seasons and re-allocation of budget.

Screening of two project descriptions was deferred. Both submissions were initially examined during the March meeting. Additional information was requested from both of the proponents, which delayed further examination until into the new fiscal year.

One major submission on clean-up and restoration of Distant Early Warning Sties in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region was considered. It was accepted as a general overview subject to the screening of all related permits and/or licenses on specific sites, which the EISC expected would contain the necessary details for screening.

During the 1995/96 fiscal year, the screening process for the majority of submissions (44/68) required more than 60 days to complete. This compares unfavourably to the period 1986-1995, when the majority of submissions were considered in less than 30 days. The difference is directly attributable to the involuntary suspension of EISC activities.

#### **PRESENTATIONS TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING COMMITTEE**

The presentations listed below were made to the EISC during the 1995 - 1996 fiscal year.

1. Wildlife Management Advisory Committee (N.W.T.), 18 July 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Bruce Hanbidge, Resource Person, Wildlife Management Advisory Council (N.W.T.) [WMAC(NWT)], made a brief presentation to the EISC concerning the correlation of wildlife research in the vicinity of Aulavik National Park.

2. Fisheries Joint Management Committee, 19 July 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Matt Stabler, Resource Person, Fisheries Joint Management Committee (FJMC) made himself available to the EISC to discuss the project descriptions that outlined the research planned by the FJMC and the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) for the coming year.



3. Department of National Defence, 19 July 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Boissoneault, Environmental Officer; Major Larry Adkins, Logistics Operations; and Mr. Gord Howard, Deputy Director, Department of National Defence (DND), Ottawa, briefly discussed DND's plans to refuel by helicopter the Short Range Radar Sites within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region.

4. Inuvialuit Land Administration and Inuvialuit Land Administration Commission, 19 July 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Alex Illasiak, Land Administrator and Mr. Paul Voudrach, Assistant Land Administrator, Inuvialuit Land Administration (ILA); and Mr. Dennis Thrasher, Chief Commissioner, Inuvialuit Land Administration Commission discussed the Darnley Bay Project Aeromagnetic Survey and the ILA procedures related to the project.

5. Shell Canada Limited, 20 July 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Carl Bonke, Contractor, Shell Canada Limited, described the abandonment program planned by Shell for their wells located within the Mackenzie Delta, including capping and restoration of the sites. Mr. Bonke also summarized the clean-up of the Peel River/Caribou River, a former Shell staging site upstream from the Inuvialuit Settlement Region.

6. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, 21 November 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Brian Ferguson, Acting Area Manager, DFO, discussed DFO's concerns regarding the Darnley Bay Resources' proposed ground geophysical survey and possible impact on the Hornaday River charr population and the beluga whale hunt.

7. Gwich'in Renewable Resource Board, 21 November 1995 (Inuvik)

Mr. Robert Charlie, Chair, and Mr. Peter Clarkson, Executive Director, Gwich'in Renewable Resource Board, made a presentation to the EISC regarding Darnley Bay Resources' proposed ground geophysical survey; its potential impact on the calving grounds and the migratory routes of the Bluenose caribou herd; and the Gwich'in Tribal Council's request to participate in screening of the project.

8. Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, 6 February 1996 (Inuvik)

Mr. Dave Nutter, Director, Policy & Communication - NWT Region, Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (DIAND), Yellowknife, made a presentation



to the EISC regarding the agreement reached between the Inuvialuit and DIAND regarding the issuance of mineral prospecting permits in the ISR and the environmental sensitivity mapping initiative.

9. Defence Construction Canada, 14 March 1996 (Tuktoyaktuk)

Mr. Robert Martel, Project Manager, and Mr. Shawn Bindon, Design Officer, Defence Construction Canada, described the proposed clean-up of all DEW Line Sites within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region, in general, and the Tuktoyaktuk DEW Line Site clean-up, in detail. The following guests were in attendance for the presentation: Mr. Herbert Felix, President, Tuktoyaktuk Hunters' and Trappers' Committee; Ms. Mona Felix, President, Tuktoyaktuk Elders Committee; Mr. Pat Gruben, Mayor, Tuktoyaktuk Hamlet Council; Mr. Alex Illasiak, Land Administrator, Inuvialuit Land Administration; Mr. Max Kotokak, Member, Tuktoyaktuk Hunters' and Trappers' Committee; and Mr. Calvin Pokiak, Assistant Land Administrator, Inuvialuit Land Administration. Deputy Mayor, Mr. John Steen, Jr., conducted a tour of the community for the EISC.

#### **OTHER ACTIVITIES**

During the period of this report the EISC was also involved in the activities listed below.

1. Aurora Research Institute's Guide for Researchers, July 1995

The EISC reviewed the Aurora Research Institute's (formerly the Science Institute West) *Science Institute West - A Guide for Researchers* to ensure that the information and procedures outlined in the document were consistent with the requirements and procedures of the IFA screening process. Substantial changes were made to the Science Research Permit application, in part as a result of the EISC's comments.

2. Proposed Amendments to the IFA, September 1995

The EISC discussed DIAND's proposal to amalgamate the functions of the EISC and the Environmental Impact Review Board. The EISC decided that the proposed amalgamation was a matter for the signatories to the IFA to discuss.

3. EISC Exclusion List, September 1995

Certain developments are exempt from screening by the EISC, for example, amendments to an existing, valid Land Use Permit; emergency responses; and certain low frequency, short duration, or small scale programs. During the 1995/96 fiscal year, the EISC



decided to expand the exclusion list to include research projects that had gone through the IFA co-management approval process. This process includes development of the co-management research programs by virtue of consultation with the affected community; consultation with the relevant Hunters' and Trappers' Committees; close scrutiny and approval from the WMAC(NWT), Wildlife Management Advisory Council(North Slope) [WMAC(NS)], or FJMC; close scrutiny and approval from the Inuvialuit Game Council. The EISC credits the process as a formal, technical review of research programs.

The EISC expects such projects to be submitted to the EISC by either the WMAC (NWT or NS) or FJMC accompanied by a cover letter confirming receipt of approval for the project through the IFA co-management approval process.

#### 4. Land Use Permit Applications Associated with Mineral Prospecting Permits

In 1994 the Inuvialuit and DIAND were in conflict as to the process to be used for the issuance of mineral prospecting licences and permits within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region. To avoid compounding the situation, in June 1994 the EISC decided to postpone screening of land use permit applications associated with mineral exploration pending resolution of the dispute.

In July 1995 the EISC resumed screening of land use permit applications associated with mineral activities after assurance that such action would not impede resolution of the dispute. An agreement was subsequently reached between the parties on 1 November 1995.

Pursuant to the agreement, the EISC is responsible for conducting an annual review of DIAND's permitting/licensing process, including examination of the potential effects of mineral exploration activities below the Land Use Permit threshold; cumulative effects; the past performance of developers; environmental sensitivity maps; community consultations; generic mitigation practices; and the environmental implications of the results of monitoring by DIAND of exploration and prospecting activities within the Inuvialuit Settlement Region.



## MEETINGS/CONFERENCES/WORKSHOPS ATTENDED

During the fiscal year, the Chair, Members, Secretary, and/or EISC representative attended the meetings, conferences, or workshops listed below.

1. The House of Commons Standing Committee on Environmental and Sustainable Development regarding the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*, 11 May 95, Yellowknife, N.W.T. (Presentation)
2. Inuvialuit Game Council Meeting, 28 September 1995, Whitehorse, Yukon (Presentation)
3. The Canadian Council on Ecological Areas National Conference, 7-8 November 1995, Calgary, Alberta (Presentation)
4. The Nunavut Impact Review Board, Transition Team, Meeting, 21 November 1995, Inuvik, N.W.T. (Participation)
5. The Circumpolar Aboriginal People and Co-Management Practices - A Workshop Examining Current Issues in Co-management and Environmental Impact Assessment, 22 - 24 November 1996, Inuvik, N.W.T. (Participation)
6. Inuvialuit Game Council Meeting, 14 - 15 December 1995, Inuvik, N.W.T. (Presentation)
7. Inuvialuit Game Council Meeting, 25 February 1996, Sachs Harbour, N.W.T. (Presentation)
8. The 23rd Geoscience Forum, 22 - 24 November 1995, Yellowknife, N.W.T. (Booth/Display)

## FINANCES

A summary of the finances for the period 1 April 1995 to 31 March 1996 is attached as Appendix C.



**APPENDIX A**

**SUBMISSIONS TO THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING COMMITTEE  
1 APRIL 1995 - 31 MARCH 1996**

---



SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996  (Refer to Appendix B for a list of the acronyms or abbreviations used in this table.)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME <sup>a</sup> (days)			MORE INFORMATION NEEDED	DECISION		
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60			Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact
GULF, <i>Caisson Storage in Thetis Bay</i>	10/03/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
Centre Océanographique de Rimouski, <i>Sedimentation Processes, Kugmallit Bay</i>	16/03/95		withdrawn							
USF&W, <i>Waterfowl Population Surveys</i>	21/03/95	18/07/95	screened			X	X	X		
Embassy-Republic of Croatia, <i>Arctic-Antarctic Voyage</i>	27/03/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
Monopros Ltd., <i>Campsite, Victoria Is.</i>	29/03/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>							
D. Storr, <i>Access Road, Raymond Channel</i>	29/03/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
WMC , <i>1995 Victoria Island Exploration Program</i>	07/04/95	09/08/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Ecological Integrity, Ivvavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Cultural Resources, Ivvavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Traditional Knowledge, Ivvavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Land Bird Survey, Ivvavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Ecological Integrity, Aulavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
CPS, <i>Vegetative Mapping, Aulavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		





CPS, <i>Cultural Resources, Aulavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME (days)			MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED	DECISION		
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60			Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact
CPS, <i>Oral History, Aulavik</i>	11/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
DND, <i>Helicopter Refuelling, Short Range Radar Sites</i>	24/04/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
Yukon Mountain & River Expeditions, <i>Firth R. Rafting, Ivvavik</i>	08/05/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
Hubert & Associates, <i>Caribou Survey-Victoria Is.</i>	08/05/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>							
CWS, <i>Snow Goose Aerial Survey, Anderson R./ Kendall Is.</i>	09/05/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>							
Ecosummer Yukon Expeditions, <i>Backpacking, Ivvavik</i>	10/05/95	18/07/95	screened			X		X		
Ecosummer Yukon Expeditions, <i>Firth R. Rafting</i>	10/05/95	13/09/95	screened			X	X	X		
DFO, <i>Burbot Study, Aklavik Area</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Inconnu Migration Study, Shingle Point</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Shingle Point Charr Monitoring</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Bowhead Harvest Monitoring</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
FJMC, <i>Habitat/Stock Enhancement, Big Fish R.</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				



FJMC, <i>Charr Tagging Project, Yukon North Slope</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME (days)			MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED	DECISION		
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60		Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact	Referred
DFO, <i>Broad Whitefish Tag Recovery</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Broad Whitefish Technical Report</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
FJMC, <i>Broad Whitefish Spawning Area Identification</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Whitefish Fishery Model</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Commercial Fishery Analysis</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Hornaday River Charr Harvest Monitoring</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Holman Charr Programs &amp; Fishing Plan</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
FJMC, <i>Boot Inlet Charr Study</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
FJMC, <i>Husky Lakes Beluga</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Beluga DNA - Stock Identification</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Beluga Age Analysis</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Ringed Seal Monitoring</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Tuktoyaktuk Stream Gauge</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>e</sup>			X				



DFO, <i>Husky Lakes Lake Trout: Mercury Content</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME (days)			MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED	DECISION		
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60		Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact	Referred
DFO, <i>Community Fisheries Monitoring</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Beluga Product Pilot Food Habits Study</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Beluga Satellite Tagging</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
DFO, <i>Hornaday R. Radio Tagging Project</i>	16/05/95	18/07/95	exempt <sup>c</sup>			X				
Univ. of Calgary, <i>Tukkuyuaq Archaeology Program</i>	23/05/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>							
CWS, <i>Pacific Brant Study, Mainland ISR</i>	23/05/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>							
Environment Canada, <i>Construction of Landfill Sewage</i>	06/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X		X			
CPS/DFO, <i>Population Dynamics &amp; Genetic Structuring, Dolly Varden, Ivvavik</i>	07/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X		X			
CPS/DFO, <i>Hydroacoustics to Enumerate Dolly Varden, Firth R., Ivvavik</i>	07/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X		X			
CPS/DFO, <i>Otolith Analysis, Dolly Varden, Ivvavik</i>	07/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X		X			
CPS, <i>Costal Erosion Monitoring, Ivvavik</i>	08/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X		X			



E. Gruben's Transport, <i>Quarry, Shingle Point Bar - 2 North Warning Site</i>	08/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X			X				
<b>SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)</b>	<b>DATE (dd/mm/yr)</b>		<b>ACTION</b>	<b>TIME (days)</b>			<b>MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED</b>	<b>DECISION</b>				
	<b>Rec'd</b>	<b>Exam'd</b>		<b>≤ 30</b>	<b>≤ 60</b>	<b>&gt; 60</b>			<b>Significant Negative Impact No</b>	<b>Significant Impact</b>	<b>Referred</b>	
Canadian Museum of Nature, <i>Arctic Grasses</i>	08/06/95		withdrawn <sup>b</sup>									
Canadian Museum of Nature, <i>Lungfishes</i>	16/06/95	18/07/95	screened		X			X				
WMC, <i>Campsite/Fuel Caches, Victoria Is.</i>	16/06/95	09/08/95	screened		X			X				
Shell, <i>Mackenzie Delta Well Abandonment Program</i>	28/06/95	18/07/95	screened	X				X				
E. Allen Okpik, <i>Tourism Camp, Kendall Is.</i>	11/07/95	13/09/95	screened			X				X		
Darnley Bay Resources, <i>Aeromagnetic Survey</i>	17/07/95	13/09/95	screened		X		X	X				
G. St. Amand, <i>Hunting/Fishing Camp, NW of Inuvik</i>	21/07/95	13/09/95	screened		X			X				
A. McInnes, <i>Hunting/Fishing Camp, Sitidgi Lake</i>	26/07/95	21/11/95	screened			X	X	X				
Westwind Sealab Supplies, <i>Marine Invertebrates</i>	31/07/95	13/09/95	screened		X			X				
USF&W, <i>Waterfowl Population Surveys</i>	21/09/95	21/11/95	screened		X			X				
Darnley Bay Resources, <i>Ground Geophysical Surveys</i>	28/09/95	21/11/95	screened		X					X		
DFO, <i>Ice Thickness Topography, Beaufort Sea</i>	30/10/95	05/02/96	screened			X		X				
E. Allen Okpik, <i>Tourism Camp, Kendall Is.</i>	16/11/95	21/11/95	screened	X				X				



DCC, <i>Clean Up of DEW Line Sites in the ISR</i>	27/12/95	05/02/96	screened		X					X	
SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME (days)			MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED	DECISION			
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60			Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact	Referred
Canadian Arctic Adventure Tours, <i>Winter Tourism</i>	15/01/96	05/02/96	screened	X				X			
CPS, <i>Muskox Survey, Yukon North Slope</i>	17/01/96	05/02/96	screened	X				X			
DIAND, <i>DRR Camp, Shingle Point</i>	29/01/96	13/03/96	screened		X			X			
WMC, <i>Victoria Is. Revised-1996 Exploration Program</i>	30/01/96	13/03/96	screened		X					X	
Queen's Univ., <i>Precambrian Reefs, Victoria Is.</i>	05/02/96	13/03/96	screened		X			X			
GSC, <i>Stratigraphy and Sedimentology of Permian and Triassic Strata, Northern Yukon and N.W.T.</i>	06/02/96	13/03/96	screened		X			X			
GSC, <i>Geological Mapping, Ivvavik, Richardson Mtns.</i>	15/02/96	13/03/96	screened	X				X			
Town of Inuvik, <i>Municipal Water License Renewal</i>	19/02/96	13/03/96	deferred				X				
DCC, <i>Clean Up of Tuktoyaktuk DEW Line Site (LUP)</i>	29/02/96	13/03/96	deferred				X				
DCC, <i>Clean Up of Tuktoyaktuk DEW Line Site (General Description)</i>	05/03/96	13/03/96	accepted as general overview	X							



SUBMISSIONS CONSIDERED BY THE EISC 1 April 1995 - 31 March 1996 (continued)	DATE (dd/mm/yr)		ACTION	TIME (days)			MORE INFORMA- TION NEEDED	DECISION				
	Rec'd	Exam'd		≤ 30	≤ 60	> 60			Significant Negative Impact No	Significant Impact	Referred	
Screened				43								
Exempt from Screening				24								
Withdrawn by Proponent				7								
Deferred				2								
Accepted as General Overview				1								
			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>

- a The majority of development proposals received during April and May are reported as requiring more than 60 days to process. However, it should be noted that the activities of the EISC were suspended during 1 April - 11 July 1995 (see "Activities of the Environmental Impact Screening Committee").
- b Withdrawn by the proponent due to the suspension of the EISC activities during 1 April - 11 July 1995.
- c Exempt from screening by resolution of the EISC by virtue of approval through the IFA co-management process.



## APPENDIX B

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TEXT

---

Aulavik	Aulavik National Park
CPS	Heritage Canada, Canadian Parks
CWS	Canadian Wildlife Service
DCC	Defense Construction Canada, Department of National Defense
DFO	Department of Fisheries and Oceans
DIAND	Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development
DRR	Department of Renewable Resources, Government of the N.W.T
DND	Department of National Defence
EISC	Environmental Impact Screening Committee
FJMC	Fisheries Joint Management Committee
IFA	Inuvialuit Final Agreement
ILA	Inuvialuit Land Administration
Ivvavik	Ivvavik National Park
GSC	Geological Survey of Canada
GULF	Gulf Resources Canada
LUP	Land Use Permit
Shell	Shell Canada Limited
USF&W	United States Fish and Wildlife
WMAC(NS)	Wildlife Management Advisory Council (North Slope)
WMAC(NWT)	Wildlife Management Advisory Council (N.W.T.)
WMC	WMC International Limited



## APPENDIX C

### FINANCIAL SUMMARY 1 APRIL 1995 - 31 MARCH 1996

---

*The following pages present unaudited financial summaries for information purposes and should not be considered as definitive statements of account. For full financial details, the audited financial statements of the Joint Secretariat should be consulted. For further information, contact:*

*Executive Director  
Joint Secretariat - Inuvialuit Renewable Resource Committees  
P.O. Box 2120  
Inuvik, Northwest Territories  
X0E 0T0*





## FINANCIAL REPORT: CHAIR AND CANADA MEMBER

### REVENUE

DIAND Contribution **\$ 87,225.00**

### EXPENDITURES

#### *Chair's Expenses*

Honoraria	32,379.32
Per Diem	1,258.90
Travel	17,254.02
Accommodation	<u>3,146.40</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 54,038.64</b>

#### *Other*

Office Costs	4,617.18
Meeting Costs	811.70
Telephone/Fax	<u>3,668.09</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 9,096.97</b>

#### *Services*

Legal/Consulting	<u>4,793.89</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 4,793.89</b>

#### *Canada Member*

Honoraria	1,275.87
Per Diem	199.57
Travel	4,714.17
Accommodation	<u>566.81</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 6,756.42</b>

**TOTAL EXPENSES** **\$ 74,685.92**

**SURPLUS (DEFICIT)** **\$ 12,539.08**



## FINANCIAL REPORT: INUVIALUIT EXPENSES

### REVENUE

Government of the Northwest Territories **\$ 60,000.00**

### EXPENDITURES

#### *Inuvialuit Expenses*

Honoraria	6,825.98
Per Diem	1,927.20
Travel	2,568.07
Accommodation	1,821.60
Meeting Costs	<u>269.92</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 13,412.77</b>

**TOTAL EXPENSES** **\$ 13,412.77**

**SURPLUS (DEFICIT)** **\$ 46,587.23**



## FINANCIAL REPORT: ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

### REVENUE

DIAND Contribution	<b>\$101,052.00</b>
--------------------	---------------------

### EXPENDITURES

#### *Staffing*

Salaries	57,657.49
Recruiting	6,860.10
Staff Development	<u>450.00</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 64,967.59</b>

#### *Administration*

Consumables	7,560.34
Office Rent	10,780.00
Telephone & Fax	4,142.61
Meeting Costs	83.94
Travel	348.34
Accommodation	258.75
Per Diem	<u>96.00</u>
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>\$ 23,269.98</b>

<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b><u>\$ 88,237.57</u></b>
-----------------------	----------------------------

<b>SURPLUS (DEFICIT)</b>	<b><u>\$ 12,814.43</u></b>
--------------------------	----------------------------

